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Letter from European Union – Comments on Draft Conservation and Management Measure 2013-01

Dear All,

Pleased find attached a letter to the Chair from the European Union and their comments on the Tropical Tuna measure discussed in Tokyo. The documents are circulated as requested for your information and consideration.

Thanks,

[Signature]

Professor Glenn Hurry
Executive Director
Subject: Circular 2013/85 – Outcome of the Tokyo Tropical Tuna Workshop

Dear Charles,

Following your circular 2013/85 I would like to submit the EU’s main observations on the draft Tropical Tuna CMM from the PNA, Philippines and Japan which was submitted to us at the end of the Tokyo workshop.

For ease of reading, I have included the comments directly into the text of the proposal which has been circulated. They are highlighted and capital letters. It should be noted that those comments do not pre-judge the final position of the EU.

As you rightly stress in your letter, many issues still remain to be solved before an agreement can be found; the current draft is in my opinion overly complex and will present many implementation and monitoring challenges. The EU is committed to agreeing to a meaningful measure which is based on sound scientific evidence and which will ensure sustainability of WCPFC tropical tuna stocks.

I would kindly ask you to circulate this letter and its annex for information to all WCPFC Members and Cooperating Non Members.

Thanks in advance for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

Angela Martini
Head of EU Delegation

Enclosure: 1
Cc: Prof. Glenn Hurry, WCPFC Executive Director
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Preamble

The Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC):

Recalling that since 1999, in the Multilateral High Level Conferences, the Preparatory Conferences, and in the Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (the Commission), a number of resolutions and Conservation and Management Measures (CMMs) were developed to mitigate the overfishing of bigeye and yellowfin tuna and to limit the growth of fishing capacity in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean and that these measures have been unsuccessful in either restricting the apparent growth of fishing capacity or in reducing the fishing mortality of bigeye or juvenile yellowfin tuna;

Recalling that the objective of the Convention on the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (the Convention) is to ensure through effective management, the long-term conservation and sustainable use of the highly migratory fish stocks of the Western and Central Pacific Ocean in accordance with the 1982 Convention and the Agreement;

Recalling further the final statement of the Chairman of the Multilateral High Level Conferences in 2000 that: “It is important to clarify, however, that the Convention applies to the waters of the Pacific Ocean. In particular, the western side of the Convention Area is not intended to include waters of South-East Asia which are not part of the Pacific Ocean, nor is it intended to include waters of the South China Sea as this would involve States which are not participants in the Conference” (Report of the Seventh and Final Session, 30th August- 5 September 2000, p.29);

Recognizing that the Scientific Committee has determined that the bigeye stock is subject to overfishing, and that yellowfin stocks are currently being fished at capacity, reductions in fishing mortality are required in order to reduce the risks that these stocks will become overfished;

Recognizing further the interactions that occur between the fisheries for bigeye, yellowfin and skipjack tuna;

Noting that Article 30(1) of the Convention requires the Commission to give full recognition to the special requirements of developing States that are Parties to the Convention, in particular small island developing States and Territories and possessions, in relation to the conservation and management of highly migratory fish stocks in the Convention Area and development of fisheries on such stocks, including the provision
of financial, scientific and technological assistance;

Noting further that Article 30(2) of the Convention requires the Commission to take into account the special requirements of developing States, in particular small island developing States and Territories. This includes ensuring that conservation and management measures adopted by it do not result in transferring, directly or indirectly, a disproportionate burden of conservation action onto developing States, Parties and Territories;

Taking note of Article 8(1) of the Convention requiring compatibility of conservation and management measures established for the high seas and those adopted for areas under national jurisdiction;

Recalling Article 8(4) of the Convention which requires the Commission to pay special attention to the high seas in the Convention Area that are surrounded by exclusive economic zones (EEZs);

Noting the Parties to the Nauru Agreement (PNA) have adopted and implemented “A Third Arrangement Implementing The Nauru Agreement Setting Forth Additional Terms And Conditions Of Access To The Fisheries Zones Of The Parties”

Noting further that the Members of the Pacific Islands Forum Fisheries Agency have indicated their intention to adopt a system of zone-based longline limits to replace the current system of flag-based bigeye catch limits within their EEZs, and a system of zone-based FAD set limits to replace the FAD closure and flag-based FAD set limits in their EEZs;

Adopts, in accordance with Article 10 of the Convention, the following Conservation and Management Measure with respect to bigeye, yellowfin and skipjack tuna.

I. Objectives and general rules

Objectives
The objectives of this Measure are to ensure that:

General
1. compatible measures for the high seas and exclusive economic zones (EEZs) are implemented so that bigeye, yellowfin and skipjack tuna stocks are, at a minimum, maintained at levels capable of producing their maximum sustainable yield as qualified by relevant environmental and economic factors including the special requirements of developing States in the Convention Area as expressed by Article 5 of the Convention. The Commission will amend, or replace the objectives with target reference points after
their adoption.

**Skipjack**
2. the Fishing Mortality Rate (F) for skipjack will be maintained at a level no greater than Fmsy, i.e. $F/F_{msy} \leq 1$.

**Bigeye**
3. the fishing mortality rate for bigeye tuna will be reduced to a level no greater than Fmsy, i.e. $F/F_{msy} \leq 1$. This objective shall be achieved through step by step approach through 2017 in accordance with this Measure.

**Yellowfin**
4. the fishing mortality rate is not greater than Fmsy, i.e. $F/F_{msy} \leq 1$.

**General Rules**

**Attribution of Charter Arrangements**
5. For the purposes of paragraph 20 and 33, attribution of catch and effort shall be to the flag State, except that catches and effort of vessels notified as chartered under CMM 2011-05 shall be attributed to the chartering Member, or Participating Territory. Attribution for the purpose of this Measure is without prejudice to attribution for the purposes of establishing rights and allocation.

**Non-Parties**
6. In giving effect to CMM 2009-11 or its replacement the Commission shall advise non-Parties to the Convention wishing to acquire Co-operating Non Member (CNM) status as follows: (a) that for bigeye tuna the current fishing mortality rate is above that associated with MSY and the Scientific Committee recommends a reduction in F for bigeye tuna; (b) yellowfin tuna is not being overfished but current F is close to Fmsy and the Scientific Committee recommends no increase in F for yellowfin tuna; (c) that skipjack tuna is not being overfished and that the Scientific Committee recommended that the Commission consider adopting limits on fishing for skipjack tuna and noted that additional purse seine effort on skipjack tuna will yield only modest long term gains in catches. Therefore, where necessary, the limits that apply to CNMs, particularly on the high seas, will be determined by the Commission in accordance with CMM 2009-11 or its revision.

**Small Island Developing States**
7. Unless otherwise stated, nothing in this Measure shall prejudice the rights and obligations of those small island developing State Members and Participating Territories in the Convention Area seeking to develop their domestic fisheries. This paragraph shall not be applied to paragraphs 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28.
Transfer of effort

8. CCMs shall ensure that the effectiveness of these measures for the purse seine fishery are not undermined by a transfer of effort in days fished into areas within the Convention Area south of 20S. In order not to undermine the effectiveness of these measures, CCMs shall not transfer fishing effort in days fished in the purse seine fishery to areas within the Convention Area north of 20N.

Area of Application

9. This Measure applies to all areas of high seas and all EEZs in the Convention Area except where otherwise stated in the Measure.

II. Measures for 2014-2017

Purse seine fishery in tropical area (20N – 20S)

FADs management

THE EU HAS STRONG RESERVATIONS ON FOOTNOTE 1 WHICH EXCLUDES PRE-EXISTING FAD MANAGEMENT PLANS. THIS EXCLUSION RISKS TO UNDERMINE THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE OBJECTIVES OF THE MEASURES PROPOSED AND THE CREDIBILITY OF MEASURES AIMED IN ARTICLE 1 AS LONG AS THERE IS NO PROPER INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT OF THOSE DOMESTIC FAD MANAGEMENT PLANS.

IT IS ALSO UNCLEAR WHAT WOULD BE THE REFERENCE YEAR FOR THIS FOOTNOTE TO APPLY. IN THE EU OPINION WE SHOULD BE REFERRING TO CMM 2008-01 WHICH ALSO APPLIED TO EEZs.

FINALLY THE TERM “OWN WATERS” SHOULD BE CLARIFIED ON THE BASIS OF TERMINOLOGY USED IN UNCLOS.

[10. The measures in paragraphs 11 to 13 shall be subject to the funding arrangement in paragraph 14.]

(Common measures for 2014-2017)

11. A three (3) months (July, August and September) prohibition of setting on FADs shall be in place for all purse seine vessels fishing in EEZs and high seas (see paragraphs 3 -7 of CMM 2009-02 for the rules for the FAD closure).

1. This measure shall not replace pre-existing domestic FAD management plans in force in own waters.

2. Throughout this section, further consideration is needed on the timing of the FAD closure, including having two separate periods of closure.
12. In addition to paragraph 11, for additional reduction of FAD sets, flag CCMs shall implement one of the following two options:

(i) prohibition of setting on FADs in October, or

(ii) as an alternative measure, flag CCMs shall limit annual FAD sets to 31.5% of the average number of total sets (or total days fished) between 2010-2012 for CCMs, or to 36.5% for SIDS CCMs (Attachment A-1) or 8/9 of the three years average FAD sets (2010-2012) of the SIDS CCMs (Attachment A-2).

PARAGRAPH 12 (ii) DOES NOT CONTAIN A SINGLE MEASURE, BUT THREE DIFFERENT OPTIONS AND SHOULD BE PRESENTED AS SUCH.

THE EU HAS STRONG RESERVATIONS ON THIS SUBPARAGRAPH FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS:

- IT IS STILL NOT PROVEN THAT ALL THE 3 DIFFERENT OPTIONS PROPOSED WILL HAVE THE DESIRABLE IMPACT. SPC HAS PRESENTED SOME ESTIMATES BUT WITH MANY CAVEATS. THE EU CONSIDERS THAT FURTHER CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THESE MEASURES.

- WHILE SPC HAS RUN SOME MODELS, THESE WERE BASED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT ALL CMMS WOULD OPT FOR THAT OPTION WHILE IN REALITY THE FINAL RESULT IS THAT CMMS WILL CHOOSE DIFFERENT OPTIONS ACCORDING TO THEIR INTERESTS. IT IS THEREFORE IMPOSSIBLE AT THIS STAGE TO ASSESS THE IMPACT OF THE MEASURE TAKING INTO ACCOUNT ALL INDIVIDUAL CHOICES.

- THE OPTIONS ARE EXCESSIVELY COMPLICATED AND MONITORING THE COMPLIANCE OF THE MEASURE IS GOING TO BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT. WE ARE EXPECTING A REPORT OF THE SECRETARIAT ON THE CHALLENGES MONITORING OF THESE MEASURES COULD REPRESENT.

IT SHOULD BE STRESSED THAT THE EU IS NOT AGAINST REDUCING THE NUMBER OF FAD SETS. ACTUALLY THIS COULD HAVE A VERY SIGNIFICANT POSITIVE IMPACT. IT JUST WANTS TO ENSURE THAT THE

---

Purse seine vessels (flagged to a developing coastal state member) smaller than 50 m LOA (13+36=49 vessels currently on the WCPFC Record of Fishing Vessels) are exempted from this reduction requirement described in paragraph 11 to 13. When a SIDS CCM chooses limitation of annual FAD sets stipulated in paragraph 12 and 13, purse seine vessels newly introduced after January 1 2014 are managed outside of the FAD set limit for that CCM for 3 years since introduction of the vessel. Those purse seine vessels exempted or managed outside the FAD set limit shall be notified to the Secretariat by 31 March 2013 or within 15 days of vessels introduced after this date.
MEASURES ADOPTED ARE EFFICIENT AND BASED ON SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE AND THAT MEASURES ADOPTED WILL BE IMPLEMENTED.

THE COMMENTS MADE UNDER THIS SUB-PARAGRAPH ARE ALSO APPLICABLE TO PARAGRAPHS 13 (ii) AND 15 (ii).

(Measures for 2015 and 2016)

13. In addition to paragraph 11 for additional reduction of FAD sets, flag CCMs shall implement:

(i) prohibition of setting on FADs in October and November; or

(ii) as an alternative measure, flag CCMs shall limit annual FAD sets to 27.5% of the average number of total sets (or total days fished) between 2011-2013 in 2015 and 2012-2014 in 2016 for the CCMs, or to 32.5% for SIDS CCMs or 7/9 of the three years average FAD sets (2010-2012) of the SIDS CCM.

[14. Paragraphs 11, 12 and 13 shall have effect if the WCPFC has the amount of $15m per additional month of FAD closure in a Fund, for transfer payments to SIDS affected by the FAD closure, by 30 April each year. This amount shall be transferred to SIDS in proportion to the average number of FAD sets in the additional month(s) in each EEZ in the period 2010 to 2012.]

IT IS UNCLEAR THE RATIONALE BEHIND THIS PAYMENT, HOW THE AMOUNT HAS BEEN CALCULATED AND WHO SHALL BE FINANCING THIS. THIS NEEDS TO BE CLARIFIED BEFORE ANY POSITION CAN BE TAKEN IN THE EU.

(Measures for 2017)

15. In addition to 5 month FAD-closure, from 1 January 2017, it shall be prohibited to set on FADs in the high seas (provision to be made for the disadvantage of Kiribati as a small island developing coastal state with a discontiguous EEZ). Or, as an alternative measure, flag CCMs shall limit annual FAD sets to 23% of the average number of total sets (or total days fished) between 2013-2015 for the CCM, or to 28% for a SIDS CCM or 6/9 of the three years average FAD sets (2010-2012) of the SIDS CCM.

THE EU IS OPPOSED TO A TOTAL CLOSURE OF THE HIGH SEAS. THERE IS CLEAR SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE THAT THE VAST MAJORITY OF BET FISHING OCCURS IN THE EEZs AND NOT IN THE HIGH SEAS. THEREFORE THE ADDED VALUE OF THE CLOSURE OF THE HS IS QUESTIONABLE AND WOULD ONLY RESULT IN A TRANSFER OF EFFORT TO THE EEZs.

---

* High seas FAD closure does not apply to Philippine flag vessel fishing in HSP-1.

* Acceptance by Japan assuming it has an equivalent impact of additional 3.4 month FAD closure.
CCMs shall notify which option they will implement for each year to the Secretariat by 31 December of the year before. Detailed operation of the FAD set limit option shall be conducted in accordance with the guideline attached as Attachment B.

Effort management [Japan’s proposal] Flag CCMs shall take necessary measures to ensure that the total level of purse seine fishing effort in days fished by their vessels in EEZs and High seas does not exceed 2010 levels.

THE EU CAN IS SYMPATHETIC TO THIS MEASURE, BUT HOPES FOR AN EQUITABLE SOLUTION IN TERMS OF THE REFERENCE YEAR TO BE USED, WHICH IS CURRENTLY NOT THE CASE WITH 2010.

EEZ
17. Coastal States within the Convention Area that are Parties to the Nauru Agreement (PNA) shall restrict the level of purse seine effort in their EEZs to 2010 levels through the PNA Vessel Days Scheme.

THE EU HAS NO PARTICULAR COMMENTS ON THIS PROVISION BUT HOPES FOR A TRANSPARENT APPLICATION OF THE VDS SCHEME THAT ALSO RESPECTS SCIENTIFIC ADVICE.

18. Other coastal States with effort in their EEZs exceeding 1,000 days annually over the period 2006–2010 shall limit effort in their EEZs to 2001–4 average or 2010 levels.

19. Other coastal States [within the Convention Area] other than those referred to in paragraph 12 and paragraph 13 shall establish effort limits, or equivalent catch limits for purse seine fisheries within their EEZs that reflect the geographical distributions of skipjack, yellowfin, and bigeye tunas, and are consistent with the objectives for those species. Limits established pursuant to this provision shall be provided to the Commission by the relevant coastal States no later than 16 November 2013.

High Seas
20. Effort in the high seas shall be limited to 2,190 days. The Executive Director shall notify CCMs when the level of effort in the high seas is estimated to have reached 1,752 days (80%) and at that time, shall notify CCMs that purse seine fishing on the high seas shall close at a date when the 2,190 limit is projected to be reached, based on the best available information. CCMs shall ensure that their vessels do not fish in the high seas after the date notified by the Executive Director.

THE EU IS NOT IN FAVOUR OF AN OLYMPIC FISHERIES APPROACH, AND WOULD RATHER WORK ON THE REDUCTION OF EFFORT IN THE HIGH SEAS.

\[\text{Ref. Table 1 WCPFC-9 2012-IP-09_Rev2}\]
\[\text{The measures that the Philippines will take is in Attachment D}\]
\[\text{This high seas effort limit arrangement will be replaced with an allocated effort limit when an allocation is agreed.}\]
ON THE BASIS OF THE JAPANESE PROPOSAL WITH ADJUSTMENTS.

WE COULD AGREE IN PRINCIPLE THAT ONCE A MAXIMUM NUMBER OF FISHING DAYS IS ESTABLISHED, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHOULD NOTIFY CMMs. HOWEVER, THIS SAME MEASURE SHOULD BE APPLIED TO EEZs, SO THAT COASTAL STATES ARE ALSO NOTIFIED WHEN THEY ARE ABOUT TO REACH THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF ALLOWED FISHING DAYS.

Yellowfin tuna
21. CCMs are encouraged to take measures not to increase their catch of yellowfin tuna. 

THIS MEASURE SHALL BE REVIEWED IN THE LIGHT OF THE STOCK ASSESSMENT TO BE PRODUCED IN 2014.

Catch retention
22. To create a disincentive to the capture of small fish and to encourage the development of technologies and fishing strategies designed to avoid the capture of small tunas and other fish, CCMs shall require their purse seine vessels fishing in EEZs and on the high seas within the area bounded by 20°N and 20°S to retain on board and then land or transship at port all bigeye, skipjack, yellowfin tuna. (See CMM 2009-02 paragraphs 8-13 for the Commission’s rules for catch retention.) The only exceptions to this paragraph shall be:

a) when, in the final set of a trip, there is insufficient well space to accommodate all fish caught in that set, noting that excess fish taken in the last set may be transferred to and retained on board another purse seine vessel provided this is not prohibited under applicable national law; or
b) when the fish are unfit for human consumption for reasons other than size; or

23. Nothing in paragraphs 11, 12, 13 and 22 shall affect the sovereign rights of coastal States to determine how these management measures will be applied in their waters, or to apply additional or more stringent measures.

Monitoring and control
24. Notwithstanding the VMS SSP, a purse seine vessel shall not operate under manual reporting during the FADs closure periods, but the vessel will not be directed to return to port until the Secretariat has exhausted all reasonable steps to re-establish normal automatic reception of VMS positions in accordance with the VMS SSPs. The flag State shall be notified when VMS data is not received by the Secretariat at the interval specified in CMM 2011-02 and paragraph 28.

25. CCMs shall ensure that purse seine vessels entitled to fly their flags and fishing within the area bounded by 20° N and 20°S exclusively on the high seas, on the high
seas and in waters under the jurisdiction of one or more coastal States, or vessels fishing in waters under the jurisdiction of two or more coastal States, shall carry an observer from the Commission’s Regional Observer Program (ROP) (CMM 2007-01).

26. Each CCM shall ensure that all purse seine vessels fishing solely within its national jurisdiction within the area bounded by 20° N and 20°S carry an observer. These CCMs are encouraged to provide the data gathered by the observers for use in the various analyses conducted by the Commission, including stock assessments, in such a manner that protects the ownership and confidentiality of the data.

27. ROP reports for trips taken during FADs closure period shall be given priority for data input and analysis by the Secretariat and the Commission’s Science Provider.

28. VMS polling frequency shall be increased to every 30 minutes during the FAD closure period. The increased costs associated with the implementation of this paragraph will be borne by the Commission.

FAD Management Plan

29. By 1 July 2014, CCMs fishing on the high seas shall submit to the Commission Management Plans for the use of FADs by their vessels on the high seas, if they have not done so. These Plans shall include strategies to limit the capture of small bigeye and yellowfin tuna associated with fishing on FADs, including implementation of the FAD closure pursuant to paragraphs 11, 12, 13 and 15 above. The Plans shall at a minimum meet the Suggested Guidelines for Preparation for FAD Management Plans for each CCM (Attachment E).

30. The Commission Secretariat will prepare a report on additional FAD management options for consideration by the Scientific Committee, the Technical & Compliance Committee and the Commission in 2014, including:
   a. Marking and identification of FADs;
   b. Electronic monitoring of FADs;
   c. Registration and reporting of position information from FAD-associated buoys; and
   d. Limits to the number of FADs deployed or number of FAD sets made.

Capacity Management

31. CCMs that are not SIDS shall:
   1. not increase the number of purse seine vessels larger than 24m with freezing capacity actively fishing between 20N and 20S (hereinafter “LSPSVs") above the current level as specified in Attachment F;

---

9 Large Scale Purse Seine Vessels
II. not increase the number of longline vessels [authorized to operate outside their national waters]\(^{10}\) (hereinafter “ALLVs”) above the current level as specified in Attachment F.

\[31\text{bis.} \quad \text{When new LSPSVs or ALLVs are introduced by SIDS CCMs, non-SIDS CCMs shall jointly reduce the equivalent number of LSPSVs or ALLVs with their flag the following year. Such reduction by non-SIDS CCMs shall be shared on a pro-rata basis of the number of LSPSVs and ALLVs flagged to each non-SIDS CCMs.}\]

\[\text{Paragraph 31bis should be further developed and the EU is willing to work with FMI to find an acceptable solution.}\]

32. The Commission will work to develop a regional capacity management plan to ensure that as SIDS CCMs develop their domestic fisheries, the overall capacity of the LSPSVs and ALLVs does not exceed levels commensurate with allowable fishing opportunities for the tuna stocks, with a view to achieving sustainable level in light of allowable fishing opportunities by 2020.

Longline fishery

Catch limits

33. The catch limits in 2014 and thereafter for bigeye tuna shall be as specified in Attachment G (catch limits are to be 40% reduction from 2001-2004 level or 45% reduction from 2004 level \(^{11}\) by 2017). Any overage of the catch limit shall be deducted from catch limit of next year for the CCM.

Monthly catch report

34. CCMs listed in Attachment G shall report monthly the amount of bigeye catch by their flagged vessels to the Secretariat by the end of the following month. When 90% of the catch limit for a CCM is exceeded, the Secretariat shall notify that to all CCMs.

[Effort limits]

35. There shall be no fishing in the high seas by longline vessels that do not unload their catch in SIDS ports or in ports of their flag or chartering state for the FAD closure periods set out in paragraphs 11 to 15.

\[\text{The EU does not consider that Paragraph 35 should apply to LLVs who do not target tuna as it is unclear how this measure would have a positive effect on bet overfishing but}\]

\(^{10}\) Further consideration is required to determine an appropriate way to identify the vessels that will be covered actively fishing etc.

\(^{11}\) Authorized LongLine Vessels

\(^{12}\) RMI is leading further development of this concept, TCC will consider additional proposals.

\(^{13}\) Only applies to China and USA
WOULD CREATE A DISPROPORTIONATE BURDEN ON SOME FLEET.

[35bis. The Eastern High Seas pocket surrounded by the EEZs of Kiribati, Cook Islands and French Polynesia) shall be closed to fishing.]

Yellowfin tuna

36. CCMs are encouraged to take measures not to increase their catch of yellowfin tuna.

Other Commercial fisheries

37. CCMs shall take necessary measures to ensure that the total effort and capacity of their respective other commercial tuna fisheries for bigeye, yellowfin and skipjack tuna but excluding those fisheries taking less than 2,000 tonnes of bigeye, yellowfin, and skipjack, shall not exceed the average level for the period 2001-2004 or 2004.

38. CCMs shall provide the Commission with estimates of fishing effort for these other fisheries or proposals for the provision of effort data for these fisheries for 2013 and future years.

III. Review of measures

39. These measures shall be reviewed and amended, if necessary, in 2015 to achieve the Objectives.

THE EU CONSIDERS THAT IT COULD BE APPROPRIATE TO REVIEW THIS MEASURE ALREADY IN 2014 IN LIGHT OF THE UPCOMING STOCKS ASSESSMENT.

IN ADDITION, THE EU CONSIDERS THAT ONCE ALL CMMs HAVE TRANSMITTED THE PREFERRED OPTIONS UNDER PARAGRAPH 12 (IF IT IS ADOPTED), IN 2014 SPC RUNS MODELS TO DETERMINE THE IMPACT OF THE COMBINATION OF THE DIFFERENT MEASURES CHOSEN.

IV. Final Clause

40. This measure replaces CMM 2012-01 and the measures for 2017 shall continue to apply unless Commission decides otherwise.

THE EU WOULD RATHER PREFER A REVISION CLAUSE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment A-1: Annual total sets (or total days fished) by flag CMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECUADOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL SALVADOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUROPEAN UNION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERATED STATES OF MICRONESIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDONESIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAPAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIRIBATI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARSHALL ISLANDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPUA NEW GUINEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILIPPINES(distant-water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILIPPINES(domestic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLOMON ISLANDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINESE TAIPEI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUVALU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VANUATU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A-2: Estimated FAD sets undertaken in the tropical purse seine fishery of the WCPFC Convention Area, by flag, 2001-2011 (grey shaded columns are in reference to the paragraph 12 (ii) and 13 (ii) options (to be updated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COM</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2011 (a)</th>
<th>2011 (b)</th>
<th>2011 (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHINA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>2,649</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECUADOR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL SALVADOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUROPEAN UNION</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERATED STATES OF MICRONESIA</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDONESIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAPAN</td>
<td>2,319</td>
<td>2,022</td>
<td>2,267</td>
<td>3,161</td>
<td>2,378</td>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>2,437</td>
<td>2,603</td>
<td>2,409</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>2,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIRIBATI</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>1,332</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>2,827</td>
<td>2,870</td>
<td>1,951</td>
<td>2,179</td>
<td>1,101</td>
<td>2,126</td>
<td>1,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARSHALL ISLANDS</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>1,471</td>
<td>798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPUA NEW GUINEA</td>
<td>2,126</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>2,769</td>
<td>4,281</td>
<td>4,508</td>
<td>3,659</td>
<td>2,085</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>3,885</td>
<td>2,596</td>
<td>3,347</td>
<td>2,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILIPPINES (distance-water)</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,332</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>1,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILIPPINES (domestic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLOMON ISLANDS</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINESE TAIPEI</td>
<td>1,641</td>
<td>2,670</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>2,742</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>2,788</td>
<td>2,254</td>
<td>2,763</td>
<td>1,842</td>
<td>2,952</td>
<td>2,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TONGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>1,782</td>
<td>1,587</td>
<td>2,086</td>
<td>1,335</td>
<td>1,553</td>
<td>2,401</td>
<td>2,774</td>
<td>2,743</td>
<td>2,389</td>
<td>2,470</td>
<td>2,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARANUSI</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11,152</td>
<td>13,541</td>
<td>13,278</td>
<td>21,022</td>
<td>16,995</td>
<td>18,003</td>
<td>16,331</td>
<td>18,267</td>
<td>21,205</td>
<td>13,012</td>
<td>21,900</td>
<td>16,756</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Covers Drifting FAD and Log and Anchored FAD sets
2. Includes archipelagic and high seas
3. Complete information from Indonesia and Philippines are not available
Attachment B: Guideline for alternative reduction of FAD sets

for paragraph 12. (ii) and 13. (ii)

In order to observe the FAD set limit, the flag CCM which choose the option to limit the FAD sets number shall ensure to take at least the following measures, except for the period of original FAD closure (July-September);

1. The captain of a purse seine vessel shall weekly report (i) the number of FAD sets, (ii) the number of total sets, and (iii) estimated bigeye catch in the previous week to the flag CCM and the observer on board.
2. The flag CCM shall provide information set forth in (1) every two weeks by set by its vessels to the Secretariat.
3. After the number of FAD set conducted reaches 80% of the set limit, the CCM shall report the information (2) above weekly to the Secretariat.
4. After the number of FAD set conducted reaches 90% of the set limit, the captain shall report the information daily to the flag CCM authority.
5. When the number of FAD set conducted reaches the limit, the CCM shall promptly take necessary measures to ensure that no further set on FADs shall be made by its purse seine vessels during that calendar year and report the measures taken to the Secretariat.
Attachment C: WCPFC Convention Area

- showing HSP-1 SMA where the arrangements in Attachment D apply

This map displays indicative maritime boundaries only. It is presented without prejudice to any past, current or future claims by any State. It is not intended for use to support any past, current or future claims by any State or territory in the western and central Pacific or east Asian region. Individual States are responsible for maintaining the coordinates for their maritime claims. It is the responsibility of flag States to ensure their vessels are informed of the coordinates of maritime limits within the Convention Area. Coastal States are invited to register the coordinates for their negotiated and agreed maritime areas with the Commission Secretariat.
Attachment D: Measure for Philippines

1. This Attachment of CMM 2013-01 shall apply to Philippine traditional fresh/ice chilled fishing vessels operating as a group.

AREA OF APPLICATION

2. This measure shall apply only to High Seas Pocket no. 1 (HSP-1), which is the area of high seas bounded by the Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) of the Federated States of Micronesia to the north and east, Republic of Palau to the west, Indonesia and Papua New Guinea to the south. For the purposes of this measure, the exact coordinates for the area shall be those used by the WCPFC vessel monitoring system (VMS). A map showing the HSP-1 Special Management Area (in Attachment C).

REPORTING

3. Philippines shall require its concerned vessels to submit reports to the Commission at least 24 hours prior to entry and no more than 6 hours prior to exiting the HSP-1 SMA. This information may, in turn, be transmitted to the adjacent coastal States/Territories.

   The report shall be in the following format:

   VID/Entry or Exit: Date/Time; Lat/Long

4. Philippines shall ensure that its flagged vessels operating in the HSP-1 SMA report sightings of any fishing vessel to the Commission Secretariat. Such information shall include: vessel type, date, time, position, markings, heading and speed.

OBSERVER

5. The fishing vessels covered by this measure shall employ a WCPFC Regional Observer on board during the whole duration while they operate in HSP-1 SMA in accordance with the provisions of CMM 2007-01.

6. Regional Observers from other CCMs shall be given preference/priority. For this purpose, the Philippines and the Commission Secretariat shall inform the CCMs and the Adjacent Coastal State of the deployment needs and requirements at 60 days prior expected departure. The Secretariat and the CCM that has available qualified regional observer shall inform the Philippines of the readiness and availability of the Regional Observer at least 30 days prior to the deployment date. If none is available, the Philippines is authorized to deploy regional observers from the Philippines.
VESSEL LIST

7. The Commission shall maintain an updated list of all fishing vessels operating in HSP1 SMA based on the foregoing vessel’s entry and exit reports submitted to the Commission. The list will be made available to Commission Members through the WCPFC website.

MONITORING OF PORT LANDINGS

8. The Philippines shall ensure that all port landings of its vessels covered by this decision are monitored and accounted for to make certain that reliable catch data by species are collected for processing and analysis.

COMPLIANCE

9. All vessels conducting their fishing activities pursuant to this Attachment to CMM 2012-01 shall comply with all other relevant CMMs. Vessels found to be non-compliant with this decision shall be dealt with in accordance with CMM 2010-06 (replaces CMM 2007-03), and any other applicable measure adopted by the Commission.

EFFORT LIMIT

10. The total effort of these vessels shall not exceed 4,659 days. The Philippines shall limit its fleet to 36 fishing vessels (described by the Philippines as catcher fishing vessels) in the HSP-1 SMA.

---

14 Reference Table 2(b), WCPFC9-2012-IP09_rev3
Attachment E: Preparation of FAD Management Plans

To support obligations in respect of FADs in CMM-2013-01, the FAD Management Plan (FADMP) for a CCM purse seine fleet to be submitted to the Commission shall include:

• An objective
  • Scope:
    • Description of its application with respect to:
      o Vessel-types and support and tender vessels,
      o FAD types [anchored (AFAD) AND drifting (DFAD)],
      o maximum FAD numbers permitted to be deployed [per purse seine or ring net vessel per FAD type],
      o reporting procedures for AFAD and DFAD deployment,
      o catch reporting from FAD sets (consistent with the Commission’s Standards for the Provision of Operational Catch and Effort Data),
      o minimum distance between AFADs,
      o incidental by-catch reduction and utilization policy,
      o consideration of interaction with other gear types,
      o statement or policy on “FAD ownership”.

• Institutional arrangements for management of the FAD Management Plans
  • Institutional responsibilities,
  • Application processes for FAD deployment approval,
  • Obligations of vessel owners and masters in respect of FAD deployment and use,
  • FAD replacement policy,
  • Reporting obligations,
  • Observer acceptance obligations,
  • Relationship to Catch Retention Plans,
    • Conflict resolution policy in respect of FADs.

• FAD construction specifications and requirements
  • FAD design characteristics (a description),
  • FAD markings and identifiers,
  • Lighting requirements,
  • radar reflectors,
  • visible distance,
  • radio buoys [requirement for serial numbers],
    • satellite transceivers [requirement for serial numbers].

• Applicable areas

---

15 Fish aggregating devices (FAD) are drifting or anchored floating or submerged objects deployed by vessels for the purpose of aggregating target tuna species for purse seine or ring-net fishing operations
• Details of any closed areas or periods e.g. territorial waters, shipping lanes, proximity to artisanal fisheries, etc.

• Applicable period for the FAD-MP
• Means for monitoring and reviewing implementation of the FAD-MP.
• Means for reporting to the Commission
**Attachment F:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCM</th>
<th>Number of LSPSVs</th>
<th>Number of ALLVs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHINA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECUADOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL SALVADOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUROPEAN UNION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERATED STATES OF MICRONESIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDONESIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAPAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIRIBATI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARSHALL ISLANDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPUA NEW GUINEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILIPPINES(distant-water)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHILIPPINES(domestic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLOMON ISLANDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINESE TAIPEI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUVALU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VANUATU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment G: Bigeye Longline Catch Limits by Flag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCMs</th>
<th>Catch</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Av. 2001-04</th>
<th>40% reduction from Av. 2001-04</th>
<th>45% reduction from 2004</th>
<th>Catch Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUSTRALIA</td>
<td>1,307</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>1,056</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELIZE</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>803</td>
<td></td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINA</td>
<td>2,227</td>
<td>2,312</td>
<td>8,965</td>
<td>11,748</td>
<td>6,313</td>
<td>6,461</td>
<td>6,461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUROPEAN COMMUNITY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDONESIA</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>2,168</td>
<td>2,192</td>
<td>1,693</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAPAN</td>
<td>27,466</td>
<td>29,574</td>
<td>26,110</td>
<td>29,248</td>
<td>28,100</td>
<td>16,860</td>
<td>16,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>266</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPUBLIC OF KOREA</td>
<td>22,172</td>
<td>28,533</td>
<td>17,151</td>
<td>17,941</td>
<td>21,449</td>
<td>12,869</td>
<td>12,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHINESE TAIPEI</td>
<td>12,435</td>
<td>16,645</td>
<td>14,429</td>
<td>20,992</td>
<td>16,125</td>
<td>9,675</td>
<td>9,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2,418</td>
<td>4,396</td>
<td>3,618</td>
<td>4,181</td>
<td>3,653</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>