



**COMMISSION  
FOURTEENTH REGULAR SESSION**  
Manila, Philippines  
3 – 7 December 2017

---

**SUPPLEMENT 1**  
**TO REV5 OF THE DRAFT BRIDGING MEASURE FOR TROPICAL TUNAS**  
**(CONSULTATIVE DRAFT)**

---

**WCPFC14-2017-08\_suppl1**  
**16 November 2017**

This Supplement contains additional or revised proposals from the 17 CCMs of the FFA. Please refer to **WCPFC14-2017-DP16** for further information or explanation of the FFA views.

Supplement to Rev5 of the Draft Bridging Measure: FFA

Rev5 Paragraph Ref:	Status	FFA Proposal
<b>5: Small Island Developing States</b>	Square brackets pending clarification of interpretation	<a href="#">[Unless otherwise stated, nothing in this Measure shall prejudice the rights and obligations of those small island developing State Members and Participating Territories in the Convention Area seeking to develop their domestic fisheries. This paragraph shall not be applied to [relevant paragraphs: 14-20, 30 and 32 – 37 of CMM 2016-01.]</a>
<b>6ter: Overlap Area</b>	Para 13 of 2016-01 removed prior to Rev4 and reinserted following Honolulu meeting.	<a href="#">[The catch and effort limits in paragraphs [xx] (FAD sets), paragraph [xx] (high seas purse seine effort limits), paragraph [xx] (bigeye longline catch), and paragraphs [xx] (yellowfin purse seine effort and longline catch) shall be calculated from the relevant historical levels within the Convention Area except for those Member flag States who, consistent with the WCPFC9 decision (paragraph 80 of WCPFC9 Summary Report), notify of their choice to implement IATTC measures in the overlap area. For those <u>Where</u> Member flag States <del>who</del> choose to implement IATTC measures in the overlap area, <u>the calculation of their any calculation of limits for the Convention Area (excluding the overlap area) that are done on the basis of historical catch or effort levels,</u> shall exclude historical catch or effort within the overlap area. Notwithstanding decisions on application of catch and/or effort limits, all other provisions of this measure apply to all vessels fishing in the overlap area.]</a>
<b>12: FAD Set Management: ALT 1</b>	Para 12 does not include associated footnotes from 2016-01.	FFA proposes reinsertion of footnotes 3 and 4 of CMM 2016-01 until review of disproportionate burden is undertaken.
<b>12: FAD Set Management: ALT 1</b>	FAD set limits do not include reporting requirements of para 19 from 2016-01	<a href="#">12 bis: Reporting for FAD set limit option</a>  <a href="#">CCMs that select an option that limits the number of FAD sets in addition to the 3-month prohibition of FAD sets (paragraph xx,</a>

		<p>xx) shall implement the limit on FAD sets in accordance with the following:</p> <p>(a) The captain of a purse seine vessel shall weekly report (i) the number of FAD sets, (ii) the number of total sets, and (iii) estimated bigeye catch in the previous week to the flag CCM and the observer on board.</p> <p>(b) The flag CCM shall provide information set forth in [above subparagraph] every month by its vessels to the Secretariat.</p> <p>(c) After the number of FAD set conducted reaches 80% of the set limit, the CCM shall report the information [in subparagraph a] above weekly to the Secretariat.</p> <p>(d) After the number of FAD sets conducted reaches 90% of the set limit, the captain shall report the information daily to the flag CCM authority.</p> <p>(e) When the number of FAD set conducted reaches the limit, the CCM shall promptly take necessary measures to ensure that no further sets on FADs shall be made by its purse seine vessels during that calendar year and report the measures taken to the Secretariat.</p>
<p><b>21: <u>Zone-based purse seine effort control:</u></b> <b>ALT 1</b></p>	<p>Square brackets</p>	<p>21 bis <u>Other coastal States within the Convention Area other than those referred to in paragraphs [19 and 20] shall establish effort limits, or equivalent catch limits for purse seine fisheries within their EEZs that reflect the geographical distributions of skipjack, yellowfin, and bigeye tunas, and are consistent with the objectives for those species. Those coastal States that have already notified limits to the Commission shall restrict purse seine effort and/or catch within their EEZs in accordance with those limits. Those coastal State CCMs that have yet to notify limits to the Commission shall do so by [date].</u></p>
<p><b>24: <u>High seas purse seine effort control:</u></b> <b>ALT 1</b></p>	<p>Para 24 does not include associated footnotes from 2016-01.</p>	<p>FFA proposes reinsertion of footnotes 7 and 8 of CMM 2016-01 and a reference to “The measures taken by the Philippines are in</p>

		Attachment 6" (which is currently square bracketed).
<b>38: Longline fishery: ALT 1</b>	Para 38 modified from CMM 2016-01	38 Paragraph [37] does not apply to members that caught less than 2,000 tonnes in 2004. Each member that caught less than 2,000 tonnes of bigeye in 2004 shall ensure that their catch does not exceed 2.000 tonnes in each of [years]. Consistent with [paragraph 6] opportunities for non-members will be decided by the Commission on a case by case basis.

## Final Provisions

FFA propose the inclusion of the following table in relation to sanctions for non-compliance against certain provisions, to be included in the Bridging Measure:

<u>Non provision of operational data</u>	<u>Double ROP LL observer coverage requirement, rationale is that this provides more real time data and at least addresses lack of data</u>
<u>Overfishing of catch limit</u>	<u>Pay back in following year for 1st offence, payback at double the rate for 2nd offence, black list the fleet for 3rd offence Some further work required on how to operationalise it, whether the second offence must be within a certain timeframe of the first offence, whether different magnitudes of overcatch are treated the same etc.</u>
<u>Ignoring FAD closure</u>	<u>Offence under IUU CMM – so penalty not specified here also reference to national laws</u>
<u>Not carrying an observer</u>	<u>Offence under IUU CMM – so penalty not specified here. This will also be a breach of coastal State national laws</u>
<u>Exceeding high seas effort allocation</u>	<u>Same principal as over catch, pay back in following year with increased penalties for repeat offenders</u>