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# **SC17-2021 (STOCK ASSESSMENT CONDUCTED)**

1. SC17 reviewed SC17-SA-WP-03 (*Stock assessment of Southwest Pacific blue shark)*.
2. **Provision of information about indicators**

SC17 noted that in 2021, the three major CPUE time series (high-latitude fisheries around New Zealand and South-East Australia; mid-latitude EU-Spain fishery; and the high latitude and high seas Japan fishery) for blue shark in the Southwest Pacific from 1995 to 2020 indicated a consistent trend of increasing CPUE in the recent decade.

SC17 noted that the CPUE of low latitude/high seas Japanese fishery suggested a declining trend in biomass from relatively high values of CPUE in the 1990s, reflecting increasing effort during that time, followed by a steady increase of biomass since around 2010 as effort plateaued and discard rates increased, and returned to biomass levels estimated at the beginning of the assessment period.

SC17 noted that blue sharks are relatively productive with fast growth and high fecundity compared to other sharks. In addition, the population is structured spatially with smaller fish in the higher latitudes.

1. **Stock status and trends**

SC17 noted that WCPFC has not yet agreed on any reference points for Southwest Pacific blue shark.

SC17 noted that Southwest Pacific blue shark assessment was undertaken using the Stock Synthesis model framework and the structural uncertainty grid approach with 9 structural uncertainties (Catch, Discard, Initial-F, Rec. dev., High latitude CPUE, Low latitude CPUE, Natural mortality, survival function, growth) resulting in 3,888 models. In addition, a surplus production model was run. SC17 noted that both assessment methods produced similar results.

SC17 agreed that the assessment was an improvement on the 2016 assessment. In particular, the catch reconstruction, CPUE time series, and re-parameterization of biological parameters using combined information from south and north Pacific assessments.

SC17 noted that 90% of model runs indicated that F2020 was below FMSY and 96% of model runs shows that SB2020 was above SBMSY. However, the model grid was not adopted by SC17 due to the views of some CCMs that a more thorough investigation of diagnostics across the grid of models was required. These CCMs recommended that residual pattern and retrospective analysis, among other approaches, would be informative, and a deeper investigation into the grid model selection and uncertainty was advised.

SC17 noted that fishing mortality has likely declined over the last decade and is currently relatively low due to the fact that most sharks are released upon capture in most longline fleets.

SC17 requested several diagnostics (i.e., CPUE’s residuals, retrospective analysis, jitter analysis, and recruitment deviations) for the diagnostic case.

These diagnostics showed that the model convergence was reasonable for the models in the uncertainty grid with low maximum gradient and positive definite of hessian matrix, but the model fitting of the CPUEs and recruitment deviations were contended by some members of the SC.

1. **Management advice and implications**

SC17 noted, based on the above information, that stock biomass is likely increasing, and fishing pressure has declined through the recent decade. The results indicate that, if assessed against conventional reference points, it is likely that the stock will not be found to be overfished nor would overfishing be occurring.

SC17 recommended improving the manner in which the grid was selected before approving the results for providing management advice and proposed developing objective criteria for evaluating the plausibility of the grid. It was suggested that an attempt be made to use diagnostic tests as criteria for determining the final grid of results to inform management advice and uncertainty in the assessment. The performance of each model would be assessed against the following four criteria.

1. Model convergence and stability: the analysis should assess the final gradient (the final gradient should be relatively small; <1e4), and check that the Hessian matrix is definite. Apply the jitter procedure to verify the stability of the model to evaluate whether the model has converged to a global solution rather than a local minimum.
2. Goodness-of-fit: evaluate whether residuals patterns of the CPUE and length-frequency distributions were normally distributed or/and had temporal trends.
3. Model consistency: retrospective analysis to check the consistency of model estimates, for example, the invariance in SB and F as the model is updated with new data in retrospect.
4. Prediction skill: hindcasting analysis could be done to evaluate the model prediction skill of the CPUE. When conducting hindcasting, a model is fitted to the first part of a time series and then projected over the period omitted in the original fit. Prediction skill can then be evaluated by comparing the predictions from the projection with the observations.
5. **Future research recommendations**

SC17 recommended that:

1. increased effort be made to re-construct catch histories for sharks (and other bycatch species) from a range of sources;
2. dynamic/non-equilibrium reference points, such as SBF=0 be investigated for shark stock status, as they may be more appropriate for fisheries with uncertain early exploitation history and strong environmental influences;
3. additional tagging be carried out using satellite tags in a range of locations, especially known nursery grounds in South-East Australia and New Zealand, as well as high seas areas to the north and east of New Zealand, where catch-rates are high;
4. additional growth studies from a range of locations be undertaken to help build a better understanding of typical growth, as well as regional growth differences;
5. genetic/genomic studies be undertaken to augment the tagging work to help resolve these stock/sub-stock structure patterns;
6. aggregated data for key sharks are submitted as by ocean area not simply as WCPO and, where possible, these data should be retrospectively corrected; and
7. observers (or the vessel) should record number of shark lines deployed per set or the number of floats with shark lines.

# **SC13-2017 – SC16-2020 (NO STOCK ASSESSMENTS)**

1. There was no stock assessment for south Pacific blue shark in 2020. This was not discussed at SC17 due to its streamlined agenda and discussions were conducted virtually due to the impacts of COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, the stock status descriptions and management advice from SC13 are still current for south Pacific blue shark.
2. **Stock status and trends**
3. SC15 noted that no stock assessments were conducted for South Pacific blue shark in 2019. Therefore, the stock status descriptions from SC13 are still current for South Pacific blue shark. For further information on the stock status and trends from SC13, please see <https://www.wcpfc.int/node/29904>.Updated information on catches was not compiled for and reviewed by SC15.
4. Management advice and implications
5. SC15 noted that no management advice has been provided for South Pacific blue shark.

# 

# **SC12-2016 (STOCK ASSESSMENT CONDUCTED)**

1. **Stock status and trends**
2. SC12 noted that WCPFC has not yet determined limit biological reference points for South Pacific blue shark.
3. SC12 noted that the stock status for shark assessments presented to the Scientific Committee have been traditionally assessed relative to MSY-based reference points. It was also noted that realistic estimates of equilibrium unexploited recruitment and spawning biomass could not be obtained in the 2016 South Pacific blue shark assessment due to the lack of available data, conflicting CPUE time series, and uncertainty in the estimated stock recruitment relationship.
4. SC12 noted that the 2015 catch of south Pacific blue shark provided within aggregate 5-degree square catch data was 26% lower than in 2014, and a 34% reduction over the average for 2010-14.
5. SC12 noted that the 2016 South Pacific blue shark assessment is preliminary and is considered to be a work in progress. As a result, it cannot be used to determine stock status and form the basis of management advice.
6. SC12 noted that there are a number of data uncertainties within the South Pacific blue shark assessment, especially with regard to historical and contemporary longline catch and CPUE estimates. The data-poor nature of the South Pacific blue shark assessment indicates that an improvement in the amount and quality of available biological and fishery information will be required in order to develop a useful integrated stock assessment model.
7. SC12 noted the recommendations in the working papers (SC12-SA-WP-08 and SC12-SA-WP-09) for data improvements and other analytical work needed to improve the assessment for South Pacific blue shark, and recommends prioritizing such work.
8. **Management advice and implications**
9. SC12 noted that no management advice has been provided for South Pacific blue shark.
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